

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,062	07/08/2003	003 Sadanori Yamanaka 3885-0107P		7530	
2292	2292 7590 09/02/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			JACKSON JR, JEROME	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		NI NI
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/614,062	YAMANAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815	

•	Examine	AIT OILL	ĺ		
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action: or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 August 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS	y must be med within the time pent	d set lotti ili 37 CFR	41.37(a).		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ootoa olamiio.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b)      will	I be entered and an e	explanation of		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	vided below or appended.		•		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-13,18,20 and 21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. Other:					
( )					
•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: contrary to applicant's arguments layer 11 (rather than layer 8,9, or 10) of Okumura is the "third" layer corresponding to applicant's claim 21. In regard to Doverspike, see the analysis concluding that layer 21 is n-type regardless of whether it is stated.

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER